

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,287	08/11/2006	Shinya Mizone	2006_1255A	7169	
52349 WENDEROTE	7590 01/14/200 H. LIND & PONACK I		EXAM	AINER	
2033 K. STREET, NW			HAUTH, GALEN H		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	11, DC 20000		1791	1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/589,287 MIZONE ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	GALEN HAUTH	1791					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress				
Period for Reply		•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3° CPR 1.1° If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patter term adjustment. See 3° CPR 1.7°CPR.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oc	ctober 2008.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	<b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(-/ (-/-					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	:d.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,287 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
   USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asada (JP 08-311387, NPL - Machine translation provided for citation) in view of Delgado (PN 5045569).
  - a. With regards to claim 1, Asada teaches forming an ink for printing in which a water in oil type emulsion is formed with a styrene polymer (abstract) resin as the hydrophobic polymer (¶ 0009) and acrylic acid resin or sodium polyacrylate (¶ 0017) as the hydrophilic portion. Asada does not teach the use of ammonium acrylate.
  - Delgado teaches forming water in oil type emulsions with acrylates (col 4
     In 22-30). Delgado teaches that suitable monomers include ammonium acrylate,

Application/Control Number: 10/589,287 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

sodium acrylate, sodium methacrylate, and other acrylates (col 5 ln 20-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use ammonium acrylate in place of the acrylate of Asada as both compounds present ionic acrylate compositions in the solution as taught by Delgado.

- With regards to claim 2, Asada teaches using water (abstract).
- d. With regards to claim 3, Asada teaches using a pH of 6-8 (¶ 0021). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a pH of 6.5-8 in optimization of the range taught by Asada.
- e. With regards to claim 4, Asada teaches using 1.8 wt % phenol resin (abstract) and 0.5-15 wt % of acrylate (¶ 0017). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use 2-4 wt % acrylate in the process of Asada through optimization of the wt % of the acrylate as this range lies completely within the taught range of Asada.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see response, filed 10/29/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4 under 102(b) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Asada in view of Delgado above.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,287 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GALEN HAUTH whose telephone number is (571)270-5516. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30am-5:00pm ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571)272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GHH/

/Christina Johnson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791